


**THE LYN DOWNER**  
**GAZETTE**  
(Lambda),

no. 28  
mar 13/73

laurentian  
university  
sudbury,  
northern  
ontario.







ESSAYS typed with care. Returned promptly. Reasonable rates. Phone any time. Mrs. Ruth MacDonald. 675-6126.

PREGNANT & DISTRESSED?  
Let Birthright help you.  
Telephone: 673-7200

## TUESDAY 13

8:30 p.m. Sudbury Theatre Centre presents "Boeing Boeing" at the INCO Hall on Froot Road. Curtain time is 8:30 p.m. Tickets are \$2.00 for students and \$4 for adults. Runs till Friday.

10 a.m. - A display of possible alternatives for furnishings in the new single students' apartments will be held in the lower cafeteria. Displays will include tables, chairs, beds, and sofas from various manufacturers. Students are invited to comment on the furniture.

## WEDNESDAY 14

10:00 a.m. - A display of possible alternatives for furnishings in the new single students' apartments will be held in the lower cafeteria. Displays will include tables, chairs, beds, and sofas from various manufacturers. Students are invited to comment on the furniture.

11:30 a.m. Forum on Philosophy of Punishment in Room C399.

Man Child at the Pub.

## THURSDAY 15

10:00 a.m. - A display of possible alternatives for furnishings in the new single students' apartments will be held in the lower cafeteria. Displays will include tables, chairs, beds, and sofas from various manufacturers. Students are invited to comment on the furniture.

Man Child at the Pub.

## FRIDAY 16

8:00 p.m. - La Troupe Universitaire presents "Une Nuit Sur L'etang". Tickets \$1.00 at La Boulede. An all nighter.

Man Child at the Pub.

## SATURDAY 17

Man Child at the Pub.

## MONDAY 19

7:30 - 11:30 Coffee House - Free entertainment. Coffee for a donation.

## Up and Coming:

Saturday, March 24 - Ryan's Fancy from 9:00 - 1:00 in the Great Hall. Admission \$1.00 for students and \$2.00 for adults.

March 6 - 29 - Laurentian University Art Gallery - a solo exhibition of oil paintings by Pierre Lawton.

# CHESS

by Charles Dayfoot

At the end of February, eight quarter-finalists were chosen to contest the 1973 Laurentian chess title. The preliminary round had attracted 32 entries, comprising 22 students (including 4 women), 6 professors, 2 lab technicians and 2 outsiders. Four groups of eight were formed, the top two finishers in each group qualifying for the quarter-finals.

The draw turned out as follows:

- A) Bob Whissell (second - year Science), Group 1 versus Dr. D. Wilkinson (Sociology), Group 4;
- B) Simon Skierszkan (grade 13), Group 2 versus Gary Tenhunen (grade 13), Group 3;
- C) Dr. Horacio Roque-Nunez (Latin Amer. Studies), Group 3 versus Ali Teynouri (first year Engineering), Group 1;
- D) Bob Gibson (second year Arts), Group 4 versus Mike Moseley (second year Science), Group 2.

The first place finishers of the preliminary round are named first, being seeded against the second place finishers.

The first game between Dr. H. Roque-Nunez and Ali Teynouri did not last long. Ali, playing the white pieces, opened with the King's Flanchette (Don't wince! That's just a fancy way of saying he played P-KN3, followed by B-N2). Black concentrated on direct control of the centre, whereas White wanted his bishops to control the long diagonals, later fianchettoing his queen's bishops as well. Due to Black's domination of the centre, White was unable to use his bishops effectively; he was condemned to a constricted game. Black was quick to seal in White's king bishop with his pawns (in only four moves), rendering it virtually useless. Things did not improve for White, who fell behind in tempo and found himself making forced moves. Castling on the queen's side, White was seen hopelessly tied up, the Black pieces swarming in from all sides. In only sixteen moves White's queen was trapped, pinned against his own king. At this stage, White (quite understandably) resigned.

In the second game Dr. H. Roque-Nunez played the white pieces. Ali used the Scandinavian Defense, a somewhat obsolete defense due to the fact that in his third move, Black had to retreat his queen and lose tempo (1. P-K4, P-Q4; 2. PxP, QxP; 3. N-QB3, Q-Q1). In the eighth move, White made what is often referred to as the "fried liver" sacrifice (BxP/KB7 ch), preventing Black from castling; and then promptly retrieved a bishop by checking Black with his king's

knight which was pinned against his queen (by Black's bishop). In his thirteenth move, White had already won a knight and two pawns, threatening to fork Black's king and queen, should he attempt to recapture White's knight. From this point on, any attempt by Black to form a plausible defence may be analogous to sheltering under an umbrella in an air raid. With no compensation for his material losses, Black may as well have resigned after the thirteenth move. He stubbornly continued, nonetheless, until his resources were reduced to four pawns (against White's six plus a rook); only then did he acknowledge the futility of perpetuating his own agony - he resigned.

The first game between Bob Whissell and Dr. D. Wilkinson started along orthodox lines, a typical Guloco Plano (or "quiet" opening). Bob, playing the white pieces, castled early; and his next few moves indicated he was content to play a very conservative game, waiting for his adversary to make a mistake. This he did in his seventeenth move, giving up his king pawn for no apparent reason, playing N-K5. A much better move would have been P-K5, protecting it and increasing his control of the centre. In the twenty-third move, black placed his knight on the R4 square, becoming practically immobile. White too, was having his problems, among them a very weak, backward queen's bishop pawn which was a constant obstacle to his own pieces. Black's later preoccupation with remobilizing his knight on the rook file (and saving it from a possible trap) caused him to overlook the powerful advance of White's knight. If, instead of 26...N-B3, Black had played P-N3, following up with N-N2, he would have prevented the knight invasion as well as reactivate his own knight. White's 28th move (N-Q6) really opened up the game, forking Black's rook on K1 and his powerful bishop commanding the long white diagonal. Unwilling to accept the loss of either of these pieces, Black decided to exchange his Queen for two pieces, and gain momentum for a counter-attack, this being only possible since White's backward queen's bishop pawn was obstructing his two rooks from being connected. Suddenly, White found himself on the defensive...Black's 31st move threatened an eventual mate. White's only defence was to exchange his queen for Black's rook. Black had made one miscalculation however -- on advancing his knight on the 31st move, he was not counting on the loss of tempo in the exchange, and this one move won the game for White, his passed pawn marching unmolested to the eighth rank and queening with a "check". Black resigned five moves later. The moves of this game are:

In the second game, Bob countered Dr. Wilkinson's solid Sicilian Defence with a brilliant endgame variation that forced mate with his knight in 24 moves following a "discovered" double check.

Dr. H. Roque-Nunez and Bob Whissell now advance to the semifinals, meeting the winners of the Gibson-Moseley and Skierszkan-Tenhunen games, respectively.

## BLACK Dr. D. Wilkinson

P-K4  
N-QB3  
B-B4  
P-Q3  
N-B3  
P-QR3  
O-O  
B-R2  
P-R3  
N-Q5  
BxN  
P-Q4  
PxP  
P-QN4  
N-K5  
B-N2  
R-K1  
Q-N3ch  
N-N6  
QR-B1  
N-R4  
R-B2  
QR-K2  
N-B3  
R-K3  
QxN  
RxRch  
R-K6  
N-K5  
RxQ  
R-K8ch  
N-Q7  
K-R2  
R-K5  
NxB  
P-Q5  
P-B4  
Resigns.

## WHITE Bob Whissell

1. P-K4  
2. N-KB3  
3. B-B4  
4. N-QB3  
5. O-O  
6. P-KR3  
7. P-QR3  
8. P-QN4  
9. P-Q3  
10. B-N2  
11. NxN  
12. R-N1  
13. N-K2  
14. RxB  
15. PxP  
16. B-N3  
17. P-Q4  
18. PxP  
19. Q-Q3  
20. P-KB3  
21. N-Q4  
22. R-K1  
23. K-R2  
24. P-N3  
25. R-K2  
26. P-K34  
27. N-B5  
28. N-Q6  
29. PxQ  
30. K-N1  
31. Q-Q4  
32. QxR  
33. P-Q7  
34. K-N2  
35. P-Q8 (Q) chl  
36. K-B2  
37. P-B3  
38. RxN  
39. PxP  
40. R-K3



# Civil service does not add up at all !!

by Wade Lecour

The Civil Service Commission of Ontario has once again demonstrated its ability to lie with statistics. The only other plausible explanation is that the commission is incapable of performing basic mathematical operations. The following is a direct quote from the January 20th edition of "Topical", a newspaper published by the commission.

"A group of seven ministers and civil servants of the cabinet's resources policy field spent four days in northwestern Ontario last week listening to briefs and beefs from local citizens and discussing problems of the area."

"The group, a total of 22 in all, arrived in Dryden, Wednesday, January 17 where they spent two days listening to delegations from municipalities, industry and local associations."

"On January 19, the group split up into three working parties of nine to visit different sections of the area. Two groups flew to remote Indian settlements, one at Sandy Lake, the other to Fort Frances. Another group toured the countryside around Dryden by car."

I make no claims of being proficient in the field of mathematics but I fail to understand how a group of 22 can be divided into "three working parties of nine". Perhaps this is possible; our provincial government seems to be capable of doing all sorts of strange and unbelievable things.

The article proceeds to list the names and duties of the civil servants who went on the trip. 18 names are mentioned. When this number is added to seven (the number of ministers who went on the trip) the final total is neither 22 nor three groups of nine.

I would certainly appreciate this type of thinking carried over into other matters. If for every 22 dollars of a student loan, I were to receive three cheques of nine dollars each...very nice indeed!

There also appears to be a communications gap between the Commission and the people, a gap of about 100 years. To suggest that Fort Frances is a "remote Indian settlement" is absurd. The 1967 edition of Collier's Encyclopedia describes Fort Frances as,

"The district town of Rainy

River District, Ontario, Canada, situated on Rainy River directly across from International Falls, Minnesota, 231 miles west of Port Arthur, on the Canadian National Railway. Fort Frances was incorporated in 1903. The manufacture of pulp and paper is the town's chief industry. Farming and extensive lumbering are carried on ... Population (1951) - 9,841."

This is evidently the criteria used by the commission for terming an area a "remote Indian settlement".

This example is typical of the attitude of the Southern Ontario Progressive Conservative Government towards Northern communities. No wonder that the idea of separating and forming a separate province of Northern Ontario has been revived. The Government is totally insensitive to the needs of the North. Since Southern Ontario holds the majority of seats in Queen's Park, and, since most of the Southern Ontario seats are held by Conservatives, the North is not likely to get a fair shake in the immediate future. It seems that all the North can hope to get is the privilege of receiving the

garbage of "Toronto the Good", after the Southern communities have decided that they want no part of it.

"Topical" insists that "views expressed are those of the writers and do not necessarily reflect government opinion or policy." However, it appears that their attitude towards the North is typical of many Southern Ontario politicians. Their ignorance of Northern Ontario, considering their position, is abominable.

It might be nice for some of these provincial ministers, high-ranking civil servants, and "Topical" staff members to take a tour of Northern communities, including such terribly "remote

Indian settlements" as Fort Frances. The way that they are able to manipulate mathematics, they should have no trouble finding sufficient financial resources for the trip.

If these honourable people are so certain of travelling through remote Indian country, they should take proper steps to ensure that they reach their destination safely. It seems to me that the best way to get to a remote Indian settlement is by means of horses. Perhaps sometime during the course of the trip, these people would be subjected to the same kind of shit that they have been feeding us.

## License hassles

LONDON - Sixty thousand Ontario post-secondary students could be charged and fined under the Ontario Highway Traffic Act if they have not changed their addresses on their driver's licences after moving to universities.

Two University of Western Ontario students were recently fined \$28 each for not having changed their addresses. The cases under appeal, and a spokesman for the department of transportation and communications is sympathetic to the students' problem.

Under a section in the act, any holder of a driver's licence is legally required to inform the department of a change in address within six days of the move.

A student not from London, for example, is now required to change the address on his licence twice a year, once in September and again in May when he returns home for the summer.

Larry Innanen, defense lawyer for the Western students said, "There is no definition of address in the Highway Traffic Act. The dictionary definition for address is a place where mail goes to."

"The address on their licence is what most students consider to

be their home address and this is where they get their important mail, like job applications and marks, sent to," said Innanen.

Innanen, a third-year law student and a member of the student legal aid society, said the department of transportation and communications told his client not to have his address changed. Innanen provided the 60,000 figure in his defense of the two Western students.

A spokesman for the department who wouldn't be named said "The department doesn't interpret the law, it just applies the rules."

"The reason the change of address is desired is to locate the persons in case of accidents and for the service of court documents."

To change the information on a driver's licence, you detach the back section of the licence, fill in the correct information, and send it to the department of transportation and communications. There is no charge and the process requires two weeks to get back the corrected licence.

Meanwhile, out-of-town students driving automobiles are fair game to be charged unless they send in the forms twice a year.

## CIA NEEDS STUDENTS

WASHINGTON (CPS-CUPI) The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) has been encountering difficulties in recruiting competent college graduates for its world-wide operations.

Along with its outgoing director Richard Helms, the CIA has come under attack because of its past infiltration of campus groups and disclosure of its clandestine operations in Southeast Asia. These factors have hurt the CIA's reputation, and removed some of the glamour of being in the "spy business".

The agency continues to need people to staff its worldwide positions, and uses both open and covert solicitation to recruit college students.

Recently, the "Daily Texan"

ran an interview with William B. Wood, the southwest personnel representative of the CIA. Wood's appeal to college students was frank and forthright.

He pointed out that CIA offered many professional opportunities for college seniors and graduate students in a wide variety of fields, such as journalism, physics and political science.

"We are also interested in students with foreign language knowledge," Wood said, "especially unusual languages such as Laotian and Swahili".

When asked about the CIA running clandestine operations, Wood replied, "I want to make it clear that we do not run a clandestine organization, and there is no cloak-and-dagger purpose in our hiring students."

The covert method used in recruiting students is exemplified by ads placed in daily newspapers for foreign linguists. The ads are usually for employment in Washington D.C., with a provision that the individual be willing to serve abroad.

The student applying for such a job is not told that the employer is the CIA.

The work of such linguists generally consists of translating foreign language tapes, but where the tapes come from, and how they are obtained, is left unanswered.

The attitude of many students regarding work with the CIA can be expressed by one person who did answer a linguist ad. The individual was interviewed by a lieutenant colonel in the United States Army.

# ANGOLAN COFFEE



"Help, the coffee is finished!" (Printed in the March 15, 1971 *Nieuwe Linie*)

The South African Information Group (SAIG) has instituted a boycott of Angolan coffee because of links with the production of such coffee and the suppression of the Angolan people.

Angola, a Portuguese colony, is ruled by a white minority regime similar to those in power in South Africa and Rhodesia. According to SAIG at least 10% of the revenue from the coffee, which is produced by forced labour, is used to repress Angolans attempts to gain independence.

Since January SAIG has been in contact with the three major firms that use Angolan coffee (General Foods, Nestlé and Standard Brands) in an attempt to convince them to stop using Angolan coffee. These attempts have been unsuccessful.

The result is the institution of a boycott of these firms' products. Brands to be boycotted are: General Foods - Yuban, Maxm; Maxwell House, Sanka, Brim; Nestlé - Nescafé, Tasters Choice; Standard Brands - Chase and Sanborn.

Companies that do not use Angolan coffee include Brooke Bond, Nabob and Good Host. These include the following brand names:

Brooke Bond - Red Rose, Instant Sandra, Top Value, Pride of Arabia, High Park, Two Cup; Nabob - Super Value Brands, Kadana, Food City, Dixon's, Mulken's; Good Host - Sterling, Stuart, Pells, and Dally Grange.

The Southern African Information Group consists of a group of people in Ottawa who are concerned about events in southern Africa (primarily the white minority rule countries of South Africa, Zimbabwe/Rhodesia, Namibia/South West Africa, Angola and Mozambique). The group serves to coordinate efforts in the Ottawa area related to Southern Africa. SAIG also presents briefs to the Federal Government and lobbies Parliament, in order to attempt to influence those aspects of Canadian government policy, both domestic and international, which affect southern Africa.

If students are concerned about human betterment and a development towards world peace, they must be willing to make a few changes in their lives. Switching brands of instant coffee, can be one of the small changes. La boycoté stocks most of these brands and any changes to be made would only come after students complain to the management.



# **lambda**<sup>vol III</sup> the second decade

## **Editor**

**Lyn Downer**

## **Business Manager**

**Brian R. Gatien**

## **News Editor**

## **Sports Editor**

**P Stewart**

## **Fine Arts Editor**

**M Derochie**

## **SGA Board Member**

**B Scandian**

## **Typesetter**

**M Boyle**

## **Satire**

**B Scandian**

**P Moore**

MEMBER - CANADIAN UNIVERSITY PRESS

Lambda is the official student newspaper of Laurentian University. It is published Tuesday weekly from mid-September to mid-March by Lambda Publications, an independent association of the Students of Laurentian University.

All opinions are those of the editorial board unless otherwise stated.

Letters to the editor must be typed and cannot be printed unless signed with address and telephone number. Pen names will be accepted only if just cause can be shown.

Advertising is accepted by the Lambda Advertising Bureau, Room L-222, R. D. Parker Building, Laurentian University or Youthstream, 307 Danforth Road, Toronto, 180 Ontario.

this week; this week a strike paralysed the university, no classes were held and few lambda staffers were around to lay out this all impotent memorial issue for ms. Lyn Downer.

Margemagic fingers, RWW our illustrious policy chairman and morale officer fine arts Mary and associate Pearsall, Mr nice guy, blii the bastard Scandian, mad mr. moe, the red peril, Pam with pics and sports. McDonald

wrote; stewart, scandian, woodley, stekla, bosley, the canadian university press, pearsall, durochie, lecour, and

# page four

## editorial

The editorial this week will deal with the boycott of Angolan Coffee.


It is a very tragic occurrence when people choose to ignore the suffering and plight of others less fortunate than themselves.

This publication has received a great deal of criticism because we have openly supported and reported upon the boycotts currently taking place. We have criticized those who refuse to help others.

The boycott of Angolan coffee is basically the same as the others. For anyone to support this boycott all that has to be done is to refuse to purchase the brands of instant coffee who have continued to use Angolan coffee with the full knowledge that by purchasing this coffee, they are supporting a racist and repressive regime. However, there have been some companies who have refused to deal with Angola. Dutch Gulf is the largest of these.

The aim of the boycott is to stop the flow of money used by this regime to suppress the black majority of the country. To help all you have to do is stop buying from these companies. The choice is yours!

# GOOD TILL THE VERY LAST ONE DROPS!



## Coffee for CANADA BLOOD for Angola !!



# Leditors

## thanks

Dear Lyn:

I would like to thank all my good friends, supporters and everyday people for the help, advice and moral support they have given me in the SGA election campaign. Special thanks go out to Alan McDonald, John Kowalski, Stan Polowich, Randy Gasparini, Marti Bowler and to the St. Charles College Brigade whose vocal support, assistance and dedication I cannot forget.

You all worked hard in this campaign. I tried the best I could for you. Although I lost you didn't let me down because together we poured out the best we had.

**FIGHT ON, THE BATTLE'S NEVER OVER FOR US.**

Good luck to the new SGA executive. It will take more than luck to get SGA together.

Mike Slawny,  
former SGA Council member.

## gripe

The Editor:

I wish to take exception to the attitude of a certain "Student Government Agitator" whose letter appeared in Lambda on the 27th of February, 1973.

According to your letter you are a member of the SGA 72-73. As a member of that body and representing student interests (hopefully) I think you are far out of line in criticizing the voting attitudes of some 322 voters.

The election of the Jacob-Campeau slate came as quite a shock to those of us who had orientated our thoughts to an SGA that would play down business and strive for fulfillment in the political and academic spheres. Perhaps your anger is justified. Perhaps you do not like Jacob and/or Campeau. Do not like you can't or won't work with them. If so, then I suggest that you resign because you won't do us, the students, any good either.

If more students had voted the results might have been different, but, all things being equal, I would doubt it. Jacob and Campeau seemed to have consistent strength in the ballots.

However, the point that I find most disturbing is that you can criticize an executive that has never been in power and slag and accuse of being stupid those people that voted for it and yet not have the guts to sign your name to the letter.

It is my belief that "the management team" which, to the best of my knowledge, has very little experience in political and academic areas will need all the help and guidance it can get. We can make great gains in these fields in the year to come. However, people are needed who are willing to work when the going gets tough not someone who runs to Leditors to have a good cry.

Garf Lorriman.

## gripe

Editor:

"Lambda is the official student newspaper of Laurentian University". This appears in every issue of Lambda and I have always wondered if this was valid until I read the issue that was released on the 27th of February.

In a front page article an opinion

of Richard Woodley appears; "on a left to right continuum it is obvious that the more right wing the candidates were the more votes they seemed to poll." We all know that anything R.W.W. says is also Lambda policy. If this is true we can say that Slawny and Jacobs represented the conservative side of the political spectrum. Their vote totalled equals 57.4% of the vote. Approximately the same percentage results in totalling the top two candidates in the race for English V.P. French V.P. cannot be considered as both candidates were left wing.

Throughout the Lambda the articles were biased and sometimes vicious against Jacobs. The only article that did not attack him was Wade Lecour's article but he certainly did not praise him. His was a factual report. The bastard letter was especially small.

Your editor himself pointed out that, "the student press is responsible to the students of the University". Now it must be obvious to anyone that the Lambda cannot be considered the official student newspaper of L.U. or responsible to the students of it when they do not represent them. The capitalists have "scored a definite victory" and it is about time that Lambda brass realized it. They nailed 74.4% of the vote which clearly shows what L.U. students want. Arguments are made: crying that the left wingers did not show at the polls. All I can say is if they did not have the gumption to vote they do not deserve to have any representatives on their side of the political spectrum. What we can see now is just who cares about what happens at this school.

Let's have a good look at Lambda, get this clique broken up and get some real student representation in our school media. And to the left wingers, cry us a river and face the facts.

Tom Engel.

Ed. Note: We welcome and encourage all and any students who wish to work on the Lambda staff.

## gripe

Dear Sir:

The last issue of your pitiful attempt at a journalistic endeavor caused me to be greatly nauseous to my stomach.

One particular article which caused my lunch to crawl up my esophagus was the subversive scratchings of that filthy communist slob Bill Scandlan. The ending of this article (?) climaxed this disgusting display of muckraking in a manner so vile that it indeed questions the legitimacy of your, pardon the expression, newspaper.

Everyone knows Scandlan for the bastard he is and know one is fooled by his intellectual (?) feces! To allow such slime to appear within the pages of a publication which is supposed to be for students tells me one thing, that you and your staff are not responsible at all to the students of Laurentian!!

I urge all the responsible students to oppose this degenerate when he stands for election as editor of the Lambda. Scandlan is the ring leader of the subversives! If we get rid of him, the rest of his motley crew will go. I might add Ms. Downer that you have not published when this election will take place and who the opponents to Scandlan are. Is this another example of your

irresponsibility? Could it be that you are supporting Scandlan?? I would also like to urge Mr. Scandlan to learn the proper way of spelling Jacobs!

**I DARE YOU TO PRINT THIS!!**

John McCarthy  
1st year arts

Ed. Note: All spelling errors, etc. are accredited to the original copy.

## gripe

Dear Sir:

In last week's edition of Lambda, there was much walling and crying about how the results of the recent SGA represented a serious setback to the progress of "social activism" on campus. Well, sir, I, for one am glad to see an executive in power that will start worrying more about the problems of this campus instead of going crazy over a needed fee increase and engaging in tactics that cannot have any significant effect on the Davis government, while, in other cases, bring nothing but public indignation on students as a whole.

Perhaps now the executive can get down to the pressing problems here (such as the Versafod Slop Trough) and cut out the crap such as blocking and crawling as elevators.

While Mr. Grenier is mourning the passing of an era of social activism, he doesn't realize that the election results signify that a lot of the students on this campus are fed up with all the trappings of that era. I wish the new executive all the best, and they'll need it; there must be a hell of a mess in the SGA to clean up.

Sincerely,  
John Bruce.

Ed. Note: We couldn't agree more, the SGA is a "hell of a mess".

## inquiry

Dear Sir:

As two alumni and former members of the Food Services Committee, we have watched the goings-on of this year's committee and Versa Foods with interest.

We are interested also in a venture which began during our year on the committee, which was to provide the university with a draft beer machine. At that time, Pubs were held Thursday nights, and profits were to be put aside into a fund for this machine.

We would like to know if this year's students have benefited from these funds, and if not, where has the money gone.

Mr. Hubert Lemire could surely give us the answer.

Sincerely,  
Norel Beach (B.A. May '72)  
Bob Cerna (B.A. May '71)

## OXFAM

To all members of faculty, administration, support staff, and Lambda:

Those of us who are fortunate enough to enjoy material comforts receive daily reminders of the fact that many of our fellows are suffering the dread effects of war, famine, disease, poverty and other natural disasters. And sometimes we wonder what we might do in a material way to assist. Oxfam of Canada is an organization developed to assist those in need which I have found to be a very effective agency of assistance to those in need both at home and abroad. I am pleased to commend it to you.

At the present time Oxfam of Canada supports a number of domestic programmes designed to help our native peoples become more self-sufficient. One of the projects is in north-western Ontario where last year the Indians

of the Kasbonika region were instructed in special methods of growing and storing vegetables in the north. Oxfam has a large number of overseas agricultural, educational and medical projects, including ones on family planning and public health, that are playing a major role in improving the lives and health of large numbers of people in the developing countries.

In recent years Oxfam has provided substantial assistance to the refugees from Bangladesh and is continuing to assist in reestablishing life in the new state of Bangladesh. Its work with the children in Biafra is well known. One of the pressing current problems is assistance for the earthquake victims in Managua, Nicaragua, and the local committee is collecting funds now to aid in relief work there.

If you are interested in helping Oxfam to help others, you may send your contribution to the Oxfam Sudbury Committee, P.O. Box 914, Sudbury. All donations are tax-deductible and receipts will be provided.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sharon J. Jones,  
Edward J. Monahan,  
president.

## notice

Notice to Students:

A display of possible alternatives for furnishings in the new single students' apartments will be held in the lower cafeteria area on Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday of this week between the hours of 10 a.m. and 2 p.m. Displays will include tables, chairs, beds, and sofas from various manufacturers.

Students are invited to come out on the furniture.

Malcolm L. Jacobs  
President

## STC BOEING BOEING OPENS

The Sudbury Theatre Centre heralded the opening performance of another of its plays last night. This play, the sixth in the season, is entitled "Boeing Boeing" and will be playing until Friday at the INCO Hall on Frob Road.

The setting is Paris, France where Bernard, a woman's man has three air stewardesses on a string. All goes well while only one "fly girl" overnights in Paris at a time but all good things come to an end and when flight schedules are changed...well come to the play to see what havoc is created.

For interest sake Paul Craig a distinguished actor from London plays Bernard. Paul is well known for his television role as Phillip II and III in Jaina, and has also appeared at the Shaw Festival as Henry Higgins in "Pygmalion".

The three stewardesses are played by Bonnie Britton as Janet, the American stewardess, Nancy Belle Fuller as the Southern gal and Angela Fusco completes the threesome. Bonnie will be remembered by Sudbury audiences for her role in "Leaving Home" as Kathy Jackson and Angela who appeared in "The Last of the Red Hot Lovers".

Tony Lloyd well known in Sudbury for his director's role in so many of STC's productions will re-

turn to the stage for "Boeing Boeing". Jenny Turner, Harriet Stanley in "The Man Who Came To Dinner" completes the cast.

Guest director for the play will be Laurentian University Players' own Bill Hart. Bill who has just directed the "Glass Menagerie"

at Laurentian won the Quonta Regional Drama Festival last year with "Mary Stewart".

Again here is an opportunity for you to take advantage of the "big city life" in the not so big city setting...and at a modest sum. See you there this week!

## Arts center exhibition

How long has it been since your last visit to Heaven, not the Pub but the Governors' Lounge on the 11th floor of the Tower? Due to his outstanding exhibition at the Museum and Arts Centre last December, Pierre Lawton will have on display his works on the 11th floor from March 6-29.

Pierre, a former Montreal artist is now teaching at Macdonald Cartier Secondary School. Lawton has graduated from a three year

Fine Art's course at Sir George William's University and has also done post-graduate studies under Arthur Lismer, one of the renowned Group of Seven. Although this is strictly an oil exhibition he expresses himself in several media. He has been lauded for his pen and ink drawings of old Montreal but is particularly noted for his seascapes.

See this artist's work any weekday from 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

## "coffee house"

EVERY MONDAY NIGHT IN THE PUB

FREE ENTERTAINMENT

"Something free for a change"



# All Stars

The hockey coaches of the OUAA Hockey League have completed their voting for the 1972-73 All Star teams. The players for the eastern section are as follows:

## First team:

Goal - Bruce Durno, Toronto  
 Defense - Warren Anderson, Toronto  
              Mike Fox, Laurentian  
 Centre - Bob Munro, Toronto  
 Left Wing - Bill Buba, Toronto  
 Right Wing - Barry Jenkins, York

## Second team:

Goal - Dave Tataryn, Laurentian  
 Defense - Steve Aubrey, Ottawa  
              John Vanderburg, Laurentian  
 Centre - Frank Hamill, Laurentian  
 Left Wing - Jim Sunstrum, Queen's  
 Right Wing - Kent Ruhnke, Toronto

Frank Hamill was a member of last year's first team as was Dave Tataryn. Bill Buba makes the All Star team for the fourth consecutive year and Barry Jenkins was a member of last year's second team. All other members of the teams in the Eastern Section are making the All Star team for the first time.

# Women's intramural hockey

by Don Bosley

On February 23, a low-scoring hockey game was played, with the U.C. and Physical Education girls battling to a one-one tie. Jocelyne Akey scored for P.H.E., with Annette Piché replying for U. C. The three stars as chosen by the officials were Cheryl Zaiser, Tanya Graham and Dana Conway. The second game saw U. of S. completely dominating Huntington 9-0. Rachel Cantin, who scored four goals and assisted on two, was chosen as first star. Jackie Bolohan scored twice, assisted on another, and was chosen as second star. Mary Deguire with two goals, and Pat Allure with one both played a strong game for U. of S. Donna Burski, the Huntington goalie, was chosen third star, since she prevented the score from being much higher.

With the regular season finished, the play-offs began on February 26, with first-place Physical Education meeting fourth-place Huntington, and second-

place U. of S. playing third-place U.C. In the first game, P.H.E. blanked Huntington 12-0. Pat Smith was chosen first star on the basis of her two goals and three assists, as well as general puck control. Monique Gravelle, with the hat trick, was second star, while Sue Swain, with four goals, was chosen as third star. Anne Hogan with two and Michelle Gervais with one added to the P.H.E. totals.

The second semi-final game was the most exciting game of the year. Rachel Cantin opened the scoring for U. of S., with Susan Osborne replying for U.C. Again U. of S. went ahead on a goal by Debbie "The Turk" Lafrankier, only to have Cheryl Zaiser tie it with 15 seconds left in the game. Since no more ice time was available, a penalty shot shoot-out was used to break the tie, with each team getting three penalty shots. Mary Deguire and Jackie Bolohan scored for U. of S., with Annette Piché scoring for U.C. So the stage was set for the final with Physical Ed-

ucation against U. of S. On February 27th this game was played after the exciting Vees play-off win over Queen's. For a period and a half the game remained scoreless, until Michelle Gervais scored two quick goals. Anne Hogan and Pat Smith followed with singles for P.H.E., and then Sue Swain scored two more to finish the Physical Education scoring. Tanya Graham, who held P.H.E. in the game, had her shut-out spoiled when she was removed from goal because of an injury, and P.H.E. went with six regular players. Rachel Cantin scored off a P.H.E. defenseman to put U. of S. on the ice. The three stars were Pat Smith, Michelle Gervais and Sue Swain. The defense pair of Linda Wood and Joanne McKinnon played an excellent game, preventing penetration by the usually high-scoring U. of S. forwards.

Fans had a lot of fun and were treated to some fine hockey. You have to be in the men's league should have come to a few games; you might have learned something!

## AGGREGATE STANDINGS FOR MOLSON AWARDS - ONTARIO UNIVERSITY SKI SERIES

Results include the giant slaloms of the universities of Waterloo, Toronto, Carleton, Western Ontario, and McMaster.

### MEN - INDIVIDUAL

1. Carier	Tor	33	13. McLeese	Wes	10
2. Neilson	Que	31	14. Bird	Que	9
3. Ryan	Car	30	15. Hamilton	McM	6
4. Lanteyin	Car	32	16. Noreworthy	Tre	5
5. Neilson	Que	26	17. Moser	Tor	4
6. Leich	Wes	21	17. L	Lau	4
7. Barpee	Car	19	17. Kotyk	Lau	4
8. McConville	Wes	17	20. Cloutier	Car	3
9. Viets	Car	16	22. Abbott	Tor	3
10. Archer	Que	13	22. Yadd	Lau	2
10. Behan	Tor	13	22. Shonker	Lau	2
12. Becker	Wes	12	24. Karrys	Que	1

# Basketball victory

The L.U. women's basketball team produced a fine display of athletic excellence, as they won the OUAA consolation tournament in Ottawa. Our girls breezed to easy resounding victories over Waterloo Lutheran 67-51, Carleton 54-36 and Windsor 53-42. The Guelph game looked dismal as the opposition led until midway through the second half. Here, the Laurentian squad put forth a splendid effort to win the game 48-41.

L.U. scorers in the tournament were Kathy Williams, Pat Smith, Sue Swain, Donna Roman, and Nancy George. Kathy Williams played magnificently, to achieve the highest number of points in the tournament.

Coach Sandy Knox was extremely pleased with the whole team effort. Laurentian can well be proud of this team's superior skill, and look forward to as fine a season next year.

# L.U. places in ski races

by Pam Stewart

Matti Saarinen displayed his superior skill in cross-country skiing, to win him second place in open men's competition. Saarinen and Darrell Frank of the L.U. ski team both entered the open circuit meet at Barrie, two weeks ago. This meet featured the Canadian trans-am team, as well as national teams from the United States and Europe. In the open men's ski, Saarinen finished second and Frank fifth against ten competitors. Saarinen had the third fastest time of the day, topping some members of the trans-am team. The trans-am winner of the meet was Matti Maki from Sudbury.

Maki also won the open at Sault Ste. Marie the next weekend. In this competition, Saarinen and

Frank of Laurentian placed fifth and sixth respectively in a 15 km. race.

The next open meet is to be held on March 17-18 in the Sudbury area, at Long Lake, providing there is enough snow. After that, a race is scheduled for Timmins, which will probably be the

last of the season.

The L.U. alpine team has also been doing well. Our skiers placed fifth at the University of Western Ontario Invitational, and sixth at the McMaster Invitational. In over-all standings, Laurentian places fifth of ten teams, with Carleton in first position.

## MORE PEOPLE choose the PRESIDENT MOTOR HOTEL

- AIR CONDITIONED
- FREE PARKING
- COFFEE SHOP DINING LOUNGE
- COLOR TELEVISION COCKTAIL LOUNGES
- RECEPTION & CONVENTION FACILITIES

## SCREENING SESSION MARCH 13, all Lambda staff members requested to be present

(ALL INTERESTED PEOPLE WELCOME TO ATTEND AS WELL!!!)

## NEW EDITOR TO BE ELECTED

## Graduates Spring 73

STUDENTS WHO EXPECT TO GRADUATE IN SPRING '73 MUST COMPLETE AN APPLICATION FOR GRADUATION PRIOR TO MARCH 21ST, 1973.

IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED AN APPLICATION IN THE MAIL YOU MAY OBTAIN THE NECESSARY FORM FROM:

- ...1) The Registrar's Office, Ninth Floor,
- ...2) Extension Division Office
- ...3) Your College Registrar
- ...4) Your School Director

APPLICATION FORMS FOR FALL CONVOCATION WILL BE AVAILABLE IN EARLY JULY. THE DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF THESE APPLICATIONS WILL BE AUGUST 31 1973.

## Finissants Printemps 73

LES FINISSANTS DU PRINTEMPS 1973 DOIVENT REMPLIR UNE DEMANDE DE GRADUATION AVANT LE 21 MARS 1973.

SI VOUS N'AVEZ PAS RECU CETTE FORMULE PAR COURRIER, ADRESSEZ-VOUS AU:

- ...1) Secrétariat, 9e de la tour
- ...2) Division de l'Extension
- ...3) Secrétaire de votre Collège
- ...4) Votre directeur d'Ecole

LES DEMANDES DE GRADUATION D'AUTOMNE SERONT PRÊTES EN JUILLET, ET ELLES DEVRONT ÊTRE SOUMISES AVANT LE 31 AOÛT 1973.



# Vees hoofed 5-4, caught in Mustang stampede

by Bob St. John

Varsity Stadium was the scene March 2 and 3 of the Ontario College hockey championships, an event that was on occasion surpassed by the exuberant Lady Godiva band and rather witty, if not gross remarks, from the engineering boys, who let their quips be heard by all through a portable P.A. system.


Laurentian qualified for the Ontario championships by defeating Queen's 5-4 in a quarter final game played in Sudbury. The game went into overtime, in spite of the fact that the Vees outshot the Gaels 52-22. Almost all the action in the extra period was in the Queen's zone, with Ed Taylor providing the winning marker while sliding on his knees in front of the net.

The first match of Friday evening had the powerful U. of T. Blues pitted against the University of Waterloo Warriors, second place finishers in the Western section of the OUAA. By the end of the first period the outcome of the game was determined, U. of T. having had a 4-0 lead. For the remainder of the game fans were entertained by the Lady Godiva band, who produced some rousing tunes that somehow kept the people in the arena awake. The trumpet section was especially loud and

effective in accomplishing this mission. By the conclusion of the midpoint of the third period the Blues had a staggering 11-2 lead, prompting the engineering crew to chant, "We want Ryerson, we want Ryerson!" When the slaughter concluded, the Blues had won 13-2.

The second game on Friday night was the most exciting of the tournament. University of Western Ontario Mustangs, first place team in the Western section, battled the Laurentian University Voyageurs, in a game that was televised live on CKNC, channel 9. Laurentian started off on the right foot scoring a goal at the one minute, 30 second mark, on a shot by Bill Best, who put his own rebound into the Western net. Penalties, however, seemed to slow down the determined Laurentian team, most being poor calls on the referee's part. Frank Hamill had an excellent opportunity to put the Vees up by two goals, when he stole the puck in the Western zone, but missed an open corner on a hard wrist shot.

Former Laurentian player Bryan Slywchuk, netted the first Western goal, deflecting a slap shot past goaler Dave Tataryn. To rub it in even more, he got Western's go ahead marker minutes later. At 14:48 Western's Ray Lisk put another puck past



Hamill gets shot away despite Western defence.

Tataryn, catching the corner. Frank Hamill put the Vees within one, scoring late in the period. Laurentian's Brian Penrose took a cheap penalty, cross-checking Slywchuk at 19:31.

There is no doubt in my mind that the first period was responsible for the outcome of the game. Laurentian hit three goal posts and missed two breakaways and in spite of picking up 5 minor

penalties to Western's one, had better opportunities to fill the Mustang's net. The shots, however, favoured the Mustangs 20-12, a good portion of them coming from the point.

A costly minor penalty early in the second frame, assessed to Dave Tataryn for a very obvious slash, that resulted in a Western player being carried off the ice, gave the Mustangs a two man advantage for a good minute. And at the 1:27 mark, Western scored on a hard shot by Peter Fraser, with only 7 seconds remaining in Penrose's infraction. But Frank Hamill got a powerplay goal at 11:39 to put the Vees back within one. The second period ended 4-3 in Western's favour. Shots on net were 16-10 for the Mustangs.

It was do or die for Laurentian in the third period, as they swarmed the Mustangs' net for almost the entire twenty minutes. In spite of having the man advantage three times, the Vees just could not buy a goal. Again it was Slywchuk who killed the Voyageur squad. Catching the Vees up the ice, Slywchuk teamed up with Dan Smith to cash in on a two and one situation, Smith taking Slywchuk's pass in front of the net and popping it in.

It was just one of those nights, as Western scored when the opportunities arose. Laurentian's John Vanderburg scored with four seconds left in the game, with Tataryn out of the net. But it was just too late as the Vees went down to a 5-4 defeat, in what was a very frustrating night. Laurentian hit 7 goal posts and at least one cross-bar and missed on three breakaways. Then there were the numerous deflections that just missed the corners. Defensive lapses cost the Vees a couple of goals, but in this game it shouldn't have mattered. The

Vees had enough scoring opportunities to beat the Mustangs by five goals.

Nevertheless, Western deserved credit. The defence showed poise and allowed very few second chances on rebounds. They also performed well when they were short handed in the third period. Vees outshot the Mustangs 11-4 in that last stanza. Total, however, saw Western with a 40-33 advantage. The figure surprised me when I heard it at the end of the game, for my impression was that the Vees had the offensive control and a much greater advantage in shots on net.

There was also very little doubt that the best forward that Western had was Bryan Slywchuk. A former, four year veteran with Laurentian, Slywchuk came back to haunt the Voyageur club with his two goal performance. This year, however, was his last in college hockey.


The championship game was an interesting affair for the first period. But after that it was strictly U. of T. as they went on to win 8-1. In a previous season encounter, the Blues throttled the Mustangs 9-2.

The Blues were so strong in the last game that they controlled play when they were a man short. Oddly enough, Western netted the first goal, when Slywchuk beat Bruce Durno within the first minute of play.

The game had special significance for Coach Tom Watt of the Blues, as it marked the 200th victory of his coaching career at U. of T., during his ten year reign. In regular season play, Watt has won 111 games, lost 10

and tied 9.

This year's version of the Blues was the weakest in four years, if one examines individual players. But as far as team play went, this had to be their best in a long time. Not one team in Ontario could keep up with the Blues, indicated by their perfect record of 19 wins and no ties, in regular season and playoff competition.



Action around Mustangs net. Vees had many brilliant offensive plays.



# FROM BILL WITH LOVE

Reprinted from the Chevron

## CORSAP



son  
of  
OSAP

by brian switzman

In the backroom cauldrons of education policy makers a strange brew is being concocted. Certain to effect the lives of hundreds of thousands of present and future students, this project is labelled the Contingency Repayment Student Assistance Program (CORSAP). It is aimed at solving the problem of student aid.

Government policy makers often refer to this as, 'the-how-to-create-half-hearted-programs-to-help-some-students-jump-over-the-economic-barriers-to-university-entrance-which-we-created-in-the-first-place' problem. As you can imagine, these Jack Benny educational economists are true wizards.

Their first act was alchemy. The impure substance to be transformed was called the Educational Opportunity Bank (EOB). It originated with Milton Freedman back in 1955, at the university of Chicago; was kept alive by Seymour Harris; and took form in a study completed during Lyndon B. Johnson's regime. As in all other American conservative economic schemes, the basic tenet is a return to a 'free' market of competitive consumers and producers as espoused by the 18th Century writer, Adam Smith. This proposal has not been implemented in the USA. In Great Britain a loan scheme was proposed to the Robbins Committee on Higher Education in 1963, but it too was never recommended for implementation.

Because the greatest strength of our government planners has been adapting foreign models to our specific needs—such as convertible cars and skyscrapers—we quickly produced a 'made in Canada' proposal dubbed CORSAP. The major designers were professors Cook and Staeger. It is interesting to note that Professor Staeger is now working almost full-time for the Canadian federal government.

An outline of the Cook-Staeger proposal was described in a report by Gary O'Brien of Glendon College. In it he stated, "Tuition at all post-secondary institutions would be raised so that the full cost of

higher education would be borne entirely by the students who attend, thus allowing the government to ultimately withdraw its financial support." All students could apply to a fund established by the government to cover the full cost of tuition and living expenses, which for a student enrolled in a liberal arts programme, could amount to \$3,000 per year.

"The conditions of repayment would be stated at the time of borrowing. A surtax on future earnings would be paid for the amount borrowed and repayment would be set for a specific limit (Cook-Staeger suggests 30 years), after which no further repayments would be required. When the graduate repayments equalled the initial amount borrowed plus the interest rates compounded annually, the individual would be required to make no further repayments. Thus a graduate earning a high salary could get out of the programme before the specific time limit expired".

This kind of program reduces education to a consumption item. More precisely, it turns students into objects that borrow money to gamble on the chance that higher education will help them get a better paying job than if they had not continued past high school. It is the ultimate in the buy now and pay and pay and pay later plan.

The arguments advanced for implementing CORSAP deserve attention. The most often quoted rationale for doing away with OSAP and replacing it with a graduate income surtax is that it will save money for the overburdened taxpayer. At first glance this argument sounds convincing. How often have we heard our parents complaining that it isn't even worth taking home their paycheque anymore because of the deductions. The only thing the government hasn't taxed yet is urine (except for a few brands of beer).

A deeper look at the argument however suggests the authors of the CORSAP are not acting in as humanitarian a mode as they would have us believe. What must be

asked is why most people are overtaxed? The answer might be that the present taxation system is regressive.

According to the 1971 Budget Estimate of Ontario, the corporations of this province, who make profits from the publicly financed graduates they hire, only pay 8 per cent of the total government revenue. In this light CORSAP proponents appear garbed in the colours of the Tory-business alliance that runs Ontario.

The second major argument is that students are the major beneficiaries of education and are not paying a proportionate amount. This assumption is more difficult to debate because of the different sets of statistics used. Thus if foregone income (money that could have been earned instead of attending school) and educational expenses (most research and a great amount of capital equipment) not used by undergraduate students were considered, students must be seen as paying for approximately 50 per cent of direct operating cost. This is the percentage that Staeger recommends.

A deeper aspect of this assumption also revolves around the question of what is private and what is social benefit? Wouldn't a society benefit from a more innovative and cultured society? These unquantifiable concepts are dismissed by the CORSAP designers.

It is interesting to note that one of the major currents found in the graduate surtax plan is equitability. It is more than evident that our universities are most unrepresentative of the provincial community that supports them. According to the Morand report, the families of Ontario earning over \$10,000 are over-represented by 10.1 per cent while the families of the under \$3,000 are under-represented by 14.8 per cent at all post-secondary institutions. The differences are even greater when the universities are considered separately.

The question remains, however, whether CORSAP would remedy these inequalities. Perhaps this is answered in a critique emanating from the Ontario Council of Faculty Associations, entitled

Toward 2000. It states, "Equity must be determined by examining a person's position relative to others. When the benefit conferred imposes a penalty (fifteen years of indebtedness at prevailing interest rates (which is not required of those who enjoy the same benefit because their parents can afford to help them, this is scarcely equitable. "It is a fine-sounding phrase to ask at what stage does the individual accept his share of responsibility for meeting society's goals, but under this proposal, only the poor would be faced with accepting such responsibility. It is a reactionary social philosophy that would put so many in the debtor's prison at a time in their life cycle when their indebtedness will be increased by mortgages or other expenses connected with establishing themselves in society."

In spite of the obvious undemocratic and regressive nature of a program like CORSAP, it appears that the government is proceeding with its implementation.

For the reasons listed above, it is important for students to oppose proposals such as CORSAP. Not for just themselves, but for those who couldn't afford to come to university now; or who may not be able to in the future; but also for a society where education should be valued as a right.

If we believe that this is the kind of society that we want to live in, there is a counter-proposal we can make. In the first instance we should be vociferous in demanding equity in our taxation system.

In 1967, the Carter Commission brought down its report. The commission was established by our federal government to end tax rip-offs. The final recommendations were summarized in the phrase 'a buck is a buck'. No more favouritism would be given to big corporations that get fatter and fatter at the expense of wage earners and Canadians on fixed incomes.

Needless to say, the business-oriented Liberal party buried this document. We should demand the implementation of the Carter commission report.

If this happened, then any financial benefit that a person received from higher education would be taxed appropriately. It would then follow that there would be no need for students to pay any of the direct costs of post secondary education. As it is, the first twelve years and almost all graduate costs are paid for by the people of Ontario, because an educated populace is recognized as a social (and economic) priority.

The one problem remaining would be that many students from lower economic class backgrounds require incentives to pursue higher education. These incentives are referred to as 'positive bias' by professor John Porter. He has spent a great deal of time analysing social class problems, particularly in the educational system.

Students from poor families need more than to be told that they may attend a university. It is a great risk for them to go for one or two years and then not make it in our middle class institutions. All they would end up with would be a large debt to show for their efforts. There is also the consideration that some poor families encourage their children to leave school early to earn money to support the family. For these reasons, it would be necessary to establish some form of student stipend or salary, on a sliding scale, to overcome these difficulties.

The specifics are important to work out, but first we must get government policy-makers and the public to accept the principles underlying these proposals.

While student organizations wage their proper-legal-channels-lobbying for whatever effect it can gain, individual students may be able to make a more important contribution. The proper education of a parent, neighbor or acquaintance about the real nature of our universities and the provincial government-business manipulations of taxation and priorities could prove to be a more effective way to tip the cauldron and send the back-room planners back to their chalkboards.